

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,086	07/22/2005	Leopold Murhammer	449122082300 5609	
25227	7590 11/27/2006		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA 22102			2617	

.

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions from may be validable under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ex event, however, may a reply be limitly fined after SX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 17 CFR 1.136(a). In ex event, however, may a reply be limitly after of this communication of the provision of the provi		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Christopher M. Brandt The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the processor address to the control of the processor of the communication of the co		10/543,086	MURHAMMER ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Betancism of time may be arealised under the provision of 30 FR1-13(b), into event, however, may anely be timely find after 30 kg) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the provision of 10 FR1-13(b), into event, however, may a new by the timely of the date of this communication, and the provision of the mailing date of this communication, and spire 30 kg (50) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the provision of the provis	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. □ Enteration of time may be available used it he provisions of 37 GPT 1-136(b). The covernil, however, may a may be timely filled. □ Enteration of time may be available used it he provisions of 37 GPT 1-136(b). The covernil, however, may a may be timely filled. □ If No period for reply in specified used the file provision of 37 GPT 1-136(b). The covernil, however, may a may be timely filled the coverned period of the communication of the communication of the communication of the coverned period by the fill dealers. Be an advantage patent term eligibilities. See 37 GPR 1-786(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 20 October 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5□ □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7□ □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8□ □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some *c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ Source application fro	·	1					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatistics of time may be available under the provisions of 37 FR11-18(b). In ne event, however, may a neph be timely filled after 50X (6) MOSITHS from the mailing date of his communication. In the communication of the communication o	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wilthdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application prot request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○ Note of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠ Responsive to communication/s) filed on 20 (October 2006					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1:11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<u>_</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	<i>,</i> —		osecution as to the merits is				
Al)							
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08) 5) Notice of Information Patent Application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-949) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	1.					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Paffsperson's Paffath Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some or None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Altachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, <u> </u>						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	9\\ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)							
12)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * June 1							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)	, 					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					

Application/Control Number: 10/543,086

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on October 20, 2006. Claims

1-11 are still pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-7 of Remarks, filed October 20, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-2, 5-11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and claims 3-4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Nieminen et al. and Lu et al. (claims 1-2, 5-11) and further in view of Helferich (claims 3-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/543,086

Art Unit: 2617

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-2, 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eloranta (WO 01/60098 A1) in view of Nieminen at al. (WO 02/084985 A1), and further in view of Lu et al. (US PGPUB 2002/0009991).

Consider claim 1. Eloranta discloses a method for deciding whether to intercept a telecommunications connection, comprising:

for an identification detail relating to at least one subscriber of the telecommunications connection, checking whether the identification detail is included in at least one identification relating to the subscriber to be monitored which is stored in a list; and

intercepting the telecommunication connection if it is stored in the list (page 5 line 27 – page 6 line 19, page 7 lines 26-27, read as a method for monitoring (intercepting) the communications of a certain equipment or person, wherein for an identification information (preferably MSISDN, IMEI, IMSI) relating to at least one mobile station 1 (figure 1) a check is made for matching entry of identification information, which is stored in database 5 (figure 1) with interception to be based on any of the parameters stored in the database 5).

Art Unit: 2617

Although Eloranta discloses the claimed invention, he fails to suggest that the identification detail is associated with at least one identification detail abbreviation relating to the subscriber.

However, Nieminen et al. (hereinafter Nieminen) discloses identification detail is associated with at least one identification detail **abbreviation** relating to the subscriber (Nieminen; page 6 lines 10-17, page 7 lines 5-27, read as thus the expression "+35840" specifies a group of number all of which begin +35840, such as +3584012345 and +3584098765. This is referred to as using wildcards (abbreviations)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Nieminen into the method of Eloranta in order to reduce the required time for routing and space in the address database (Nieminen; page 7 lines 6-12).

Although, the combination of Eloranta and Nieminen suggests the abbreviation of a subscribers' identification, they fail to explicitly define the wildcard as an abbreviation.

However, Lu et al. (hereinafter Lu) disclose an **abbreviation** of a subscribers' identification (Lu; paragraph 171, read as The IMSI or optionally some abbreviated version of the IMSI may be used to uniquely identify a record in the registry).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the teachings of Lu into the methods of Eloranta and Nieminen in order to recognize MS units that are authorized to use resources (Lu; paragraph 171)

Consider claim 11. Eloranta discloses a device for deciding whether to intercept telecommunications connections, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/543,086

Art Unit: 2617

a list of identification detail relating to telecommunications subscribers to be intercepted stored in a memory;

comparison equipment for comparing identification details transferred over a telecommunications connection relating to subscribers of the telecommunications connection with stored identification detail; and

decision equipment to initiate the monitoring of a telecommunications connection with at least one telecommunications subscriber identified as to be monitored by an identification detail (page 5 line 27 – page 6 line 19, read as a legal interception gateway (LIG) 3 (figure 1) to monitor (intercept) communication connections, with an identifier data relating to a mobile station (figure 1) to be monitored (intercepted) stored in database 5 (figure 1), with matching entry means in the database 5 for identification information cooperates over a communication connection relating to a mobile station 1 with stored identification information, with the legal interception gateway (LIG) 3 to initiate the interception to be based on any of the parameters stored in the database 5).

Although Eloranta discloses the claimed invention, he fails to suggest that the identification detail is associated with at least one identification detail abbreviation relating to the subscriber.

However, Nieminen et al. (hereinafter Nieminen) discloses identification detail is associated with at least one identification detail abbreviation relating to the subscriber (Nieminen; page 6 lines 10-17, page 7 lines 5-27, read as thus the expression "+35840" specifies a group of number all of which begin +35840, such as +3584012345 and +3584098765. This is referred to as using wildcards (abbreviations)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Nieminen into the device of Eloranta in order to reduce the required time for routing and space in the address database (Nieminen; page 7 lines 6-12).

Although, the combination of Eloranta and Nieminen suggests the abbreviation of a subscribers' identification, they fail to explicitly define the wildcard as an abbreviation.

However, Lu et al. (hereinafter Lu) disclose an abbreviation of a subscribers' identification (Lu; paragraph 171, read as The IMSI or optionally some abbreviated version of the IMSI may be used to uniquely identify a record in the registry).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the teachings of Lu into the devices of Eloranta and Nieminen in order to recognize MS units that are authorized to use resources (Lu; paragraph 171).

Consider claim 2 and as applied to claim 1. Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu disclose a method wherein the identification detail abbreviation is part of an identification detail relating to a mobile subscriber number (Eloranta; page 5 line 27 – page 6 line 19, page 7 lines 26-37, Nieminen; page 6 lines 10-17, page 7 lines 5-27, Lu; paragraph 171).

Consider claim 5 and as applied to claim 1. Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu disclose a method wherein the identification detail abbreviation is part of a telecommunications terminal identification (Eloranta; page 5 line 27 – page 6 line 19, page 7 lines 26-37, Nieminen; page 6 lines 10-17, page 7 lines 5-27, Lu; paragraph 171).

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 6 and as applied to claim 1. Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu disclose a method wherein the telecommunications connection is routed over a mobile radio network and/or fixed network and/or the Internet (Eloranta; page 5 lines 10-25).

Consider claim 7 and as applied to claim 1. Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu disclose a method wherein the checking is undertaken by equipment of a telecommunications network over which the telecommunications connection is routed or by equipment connected to it (Eloranta; pages 6 lines 8-19).

Consider claim 8 and as applied to claim 1. Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu disclose a method wherein the interception is undertaken by official equipment (Eloranta; page 5 lines 27 – page 6 line 6).

Consider claim 9 and as applied to claim 1. Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu disclose a method wherein identification details are checked when a connection is set up (Eloranta; page 6 lines 8-19).

Consider claim 10 and as applied to claim 1. Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu disclose a method wherein identification details of telecommunications subscribers are checked on transmission of data packets over a telecommunications connection (Eloranta; page 5 lines 10-25).

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eloranta (WO 01/60098 A1) in view of Nieminen at al. (WO 02/084985 A1), in view of Lu et al. (US PGPUB 2002/0009991) and further in view of Helferich (US Patent 6,826,407).

Application/Control Number: 10/543,086 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

address.

Consider claim 3 and as applied to claim 1. Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu disclose the claimed invention except wherein the identification detail abbreviation is part of an e-mail

However, Helferich discloses a method wherein an identification detail abbreviation is part of an e-mail address of a telecommunications subscriber (abstract, column 9 lines 34-49, read as the replay address may be an e-mail address or an abbreviated e-mail address that is associated with an e-mail address stored at the IMG 150. It is also noted from the abstract that this invention does in fact relate to a mobile communication device for receiving visual messages (e-mails)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Helferich into the methods of Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu in order to be able to monitor all possible transmissions of information.

Consider claim 4 and as applied to claim 3. Eloranta, Nieminen, and Lu, and Helferich disclose a method wherein the identification detail abbreviation is a domain name or a part of a domain name in an e-mail address of a telecommunications subscriber (Helferich; column 9 lines 34-49).

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Brandt whose telephone number is (571) 270-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30a.m. to 5p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Christopher M. Brandt

C.M.B./cmb

November 17, 2006

NICK CORSARO NICK CORSARO NICK CORSARO PATENTER 2600 SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600